

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.enplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,088	10/571,088 10/04/2006 Rainer Wolt		P29238	9020
	7590 05/27/200 I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLANI	O CLARKE PLACE	LAU, JONATHAN S		
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s) WOLBER ET AL.		
10/571,088			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jonathan S. Lau	1623		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.75(ii), in r I NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply whith the set or obtanded period for reply whith the set or obtanded period for reply whith the set which cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining date of it earned pattern term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.74(6).	F THIS COMMUNICATION. to event, however, may a reply be timely filed and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action	is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exc	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 29-65 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 29-65 are subject to restriction and/or election	n requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT	* **						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action details both a Restriction Requirement and an Election of Species Requirement.

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

When Claims Are Directed to Multiple Categories of Inventions:

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

Art Unit: 1623

(1)A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

- (2)A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3)A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4)A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5)A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Restriction Requirement

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Art Unit: 1623

Group I, claim(s) 29-55 and 65, drawn to a composition of matter which is suitable for use on skin or hair, wherein the composition comprises one or more compounds of

Group II, claim(s) 56, 57 and 59-62, and 58 in part, drawn to a method of treating skin comprising applying the composition of matter of claim 29 to skin.

Group III, claim(s) 63 and 64, and 58 in part, drawn to drawn to a method of treating hair comprising applying the composition of matter of claim 29 to hair.

The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I-III lack unity of invention because even though the inventions of these groups require the technical feature of one or more compounds of formula A, this technical feature is not a special technical feature as it does not make a contribution over the prior art in view of Saurat et al. (US Patent 5,438,073, issued 01 Aug 1995, provided by Applicant in IDS mailed 10 Jan 2007). Saurat et al. teaches a composition comprising a compound (column 2, lines 50-60) wherein R1 and R2 are a double bond to nitrogen and R4 is the group -CH2-COO-R8 wherein R8 is hydrogen (column 3, lines 1-25) corresponding to instant formula A wherein the instant group R3 is selected from the group of formula (I) and R4 is the radical of formula N-C(R11)-COR₁₀ wherein R1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 are methyl, R11 is hydrogen and R10 is hydroxy. Saurat et al. teaches said compound as a fraction of a composition as a cosmetologically acceptable vehicle (column 5, lines 30-35), implicitly teaching other components in said composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,088 Page 5

Art Unit: 1623

Election of Species Requirement

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

First species of compound of formula A

A specie is a compound wherein all R groups present in the formula of elected, for example:

1a) the compound of formula A

with R1, R2, R5. R6 and R7 as methyl radical and R4 as radical of the structure N-(CHR9), CH2OH, where x = 2 and R9 = OH. to give

the structure disclosed at page 21;

1b) the compound of formula A with R1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 as methyl and

Art Unit: 1623

pages 21-22; and

1c) N-3,7-dimethyl-9-(2,6,6-trimethylcyclohex-1-en-1-yl)nona-2,4,6,8-tetraen-1-ylidene]alanine disclosed in claim 34.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, all claims are generic to the species of compound of formula A

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1623

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1623

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Art Unit: 1623

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP \$ 804.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan S. Lau whose telephone number is 571-270-3531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 9 am - 4 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Anna Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jonathan Lau Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623 /Shaojia Anna Jiang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623

Page 10

Art Unit: 1623